

19-30410-01-LUK - CHEDWORTH - AREAS L, U & K -(GREENHILL PARK) ENGINEERING DESIGN

DRAWING NUMBER	DRAWING TITLE	REV	DRAWING NUMBER	DRAWING TITLE	REV	DRAWING NUMBER	DRAWING TITLE	REV	DR
	ROADING		24-30410-24D-R18	SWALE - WEST PLAN AND LONG SECTIPN	6	30410-01-S24-SW5	STORMWATER STAGE 24 ~ 22 LONGSECTION	12	
24-30410-24D-R1	ROAD LAYOUT PLAN STAGE 24 OVERVIEW	4				30410-01-S24-SW6	STORMWATER STAGE 24 ~ 22 CROSS-SECTION	12	
24-30410-24D-R2	RADIATA STREET EXTENSION PLAN AND	5	24-30410-24D-R19	SWALE - WEST CROSS-SECTIONS (CH 0 - 190)	7	30410-01-S24-SW7	STORMWATER STAGE 24 ~ 22 DETAILS OF CONCRETE PAD	3	
24-30410-24D-R3	RADIATA STREET ROW 1 & DRIVEWAY PLANS AND	5	24-30410-24D-R20	SWALE - WEST CROSS-SECTIONS (CH 200 - 240)	7	30410-01-S24-SW8	CULVERT BLOCKED SCENARIO 100 YEAR STORM EVENT	7	
24-30410-24D-R4	RALEIGH AVENUE EXTENSION PLAN AND	4	24-30410-24D-R21	CUT & FILL PLAN FOR ROAD WORKS STAGE 24	2	30410-01-S24-SW8A	CULVERT BLOCKED SCENARIO 100 YEAR STORM EVENT (NORTH)	1	
24-30410-24D-R5	RALEIGH AVENUE ROW 2 PLAN AND LONGSECTION	4	24-30410-24D-R22	PAVEMENT DETAILS	2	30410-01-S24-SW8B	SWALES CROSS-SECTIONS	3	
24-30410-24D-R6	ROAD 3 PLAN AND LONGSECTION (CH 0 - 250)	5	24-30410-24D-R23	RADIATA STREET EXTENSION TRACKING 12.5M RIGID	3	30410-01-S24-SW9	STORMWATER EXISTING HCC LINE EXTENSION PLAN	6	
24-30410-24D-R7	ROAD 3 PLAN AND LONGSECTION (CH 250 - 320)	4	24-30/10-2/D-R2/	JACQUES TERRACE EXTENSION TRACKING 12.5M	2	30410-01-S24-SW10	STORMWATER EXISTING HCC LINE EXTENSION	6	1
24-30410-24D-R8	ROAD 3 ROW 3 PLAN AND LONGSECTION	3	24-30410-240-1124	RIGID TRUCK	<u> </u>	30410-01-S24-SW11		3	1
24-30410-24D-R9	PEDESTRIAN FOOTPATH PLAN AND LONGSECTION	5		STORMWATER		00410 01 024 00011			ł –
24-30410-24D-R10	TYPICAL CROSS-SECTIONS	3	30410-01-S24-SW0	STORMWATER LOCATION PLAN STAGE 24	3		WASTEWATER		
24-30410-24D-R11	RADIATA STREET EXTENSION CROSS-SECTIONS	2	30410-01-S24-SW1	STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN STAGE 24	7	30410-01-S24-WW1	WASTEWATER LAYOUT PLAN STAGE 24	4	4
	RADIATA STREET EXTENSION CROSS-SECTIONS		30410-01-S24-SW1A	STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN STAGE 24 (NORTH)	3	30410-01-S24-WW2	WASTEWATER LINES 3 & 3-2 LONGSECTIONS	4	1
24-30410-24D-R12	(CH 55 - 75)	2	30410-01-S24-SW2	STORMWATER LINES H & H4 LONGSECTIONS	5	30410-01-S24-WW3	WASTEWATER LINES 4 & 4-3 LONGSECTIONS	4	
24-30410-24D-R13	RALEIGH AVENUE EXTENSION CROSS-SECTIONS	1	30410-01-S24-SW2A	STORMWATER LINES H & H4 DETAILS	6	30410-01-S24-WW4	WASTEWATER LINES 4-5 & 4-5-3 LONGSECTIONS	4	4
24-30410-24D-R14	ROAD 3 CROSS-SECTIONS (CH 0 - 180)	2	30410-01-S24-SW2B	STORMWATER LINES I & 13 LONGSECTIONS	4	30410-01-S24-WW5	STANDARD DETAILS WASTEWATER	1	1
24 30/10 24D R14	ROAD 3 CROSS-SECTIONS (CH 190 - 320)	2	30410-01-S24-SW2C	STORMWATER LINES 14, 16 & 17 LONGSECTIONS	4		WATER		
27-30410-240-1113	PEDESTRIAN FOOTPATH CROSS-SECTIONS	<u> </u>	30410-01-S24-SW3	STORMWATER LINES J & J7 LONGSECTIONS	4	30410-01-S24-W1	WATER LAYOUT PLAN STAGE 24	4	
<del>24-30410-24D-R16</del>	( <del>CH 0 - 75)</del>	4	30410-01-S24-SW3A	STORMWATER LINES J8 & J9 LONGSECTIONS	8	30410-01-S24-W2	STANDARD DETAILS WATER	1	
<del>24-30410-24D-R17</del>	PEDESTRIAN FOOTPATH CROSS-SECTIONS (CH 80 - 100)	4	<del>30410-01-S24-SW3B</del>	STORMWATER LINES K & KOF LONGSECTIONS		30410-01-S24-W3	STANDARD DETAILS WATER	1	
			30410-01-S24-SW4	STANDARD DETAILS STORMWATER	1		OTHER		

1	0	0	
I	0	3	
mil			A
			В
			c
· ST	AGE	24	
			U
			E
VING NUMBER	DRA	WING TITLE	REV
410-01-S24-D1	WASTEWATER & STOR	MWATER OVERVIEW STAGE 2	4
410-01-S24-S1	WASTEWATER, STORM OVERVIEW STAGE 24	1WATER, WATER & ROADING	1
			F
		SHRIMPTON	G &c
		LIPINSKI	
		LAND SPECIALS Ph. 07 577 6069 Email: info@stga.co P.O. Box 231, Taurange www.sltga.co.n Status FOR APPROVAL	sts <sup>.nz</sup> <sup>.13140</sup> z <sup>Date</sup> 07/05/25
		LAND SPECIALS Ph. 07 577 6069 Email: info@stiga.cc P.O. Box 231, Taurange www.sltga.co.n Status FOR APPROVAL Drawing No 30410-01-S24-CC	sts <sup>nz</sup> <sup>1</sup> 3140 z Date 07/05/25 DV1 7

Copyright on this drawing is reserved





COPYRIGHT ON THIS DRAWING IS RESERVED

























COPYRIGHT ON THIS DRAWING IS RESERVE







Re fo ai	fer or fu nd o am	to Irth con	RF ner st dn	l tr de ruc ner	acl esi stio nts	ke gn on	er				As: Librat	CC sess ry Re	Sed sed efere Add I	P1 I for ence: Date: Date:	Re : 0' : Gi : 7/	Deve late 11.2 reei 705/	Ham elop d R 201 nhi /20	hiltor men Resc 9.0 II St 25	ar	y Coo gine ce C 071 e 24	unci erinq Cons 140 4	g Uni sent .02	t Co 5	ndition	<b>AN</b> is Onl	S y d																					
37.00															-			-								37	.00																		-		
OFFSET යු	14.00 13.00	12.00	10.00	00.0	7.00	6.00	5.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	00.9	00.7	00.0	00.0	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	00.0		OFFSET	15.00	14.00	12.00	11.00	0.00	8.00	7.00	6.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	6.00	7.00	8.00 0 00	0.00	1.00
	88.87 -` 88.85 -`	88.85 -`	88.88 88.88	- 98.86	88.84	8.85 -	- 98.86 88 88	00.00 88.90	8.92 -	88.94	00.90 88.99	39.01	39.04	39.07	60.6	39.12 0.15	0 17 0 17	59.17 20.10	39.21	39.23 1	89.24 1	39.25 1	39.26 1	-		ORIGINAL LEV	/EL 6	89.47 - <sup>-</sup>	59.447 - 39.48 -`	89.48 -`	39.48 -`		9.48	89.48 -	9.48 -	89.48 -	39.48	89.47 - 89.47	89.47	39.47	39.46	59.45 59.46	9.46	89.47	89.48 80.48	9.47 1	39.47 1
					8.88	8.85 3	8.83 8.74	8.72 3	8.73	8.76	8.76	8.73	8.72 3	8.74 3	8.83	8.85										-INISHED LEVE	EL		., .,			, ()	60.6	9.07 9.05	8.96	8.94 3	8.95	8.98	8.98	8.95	8.94	8.90 9.05 9.05	9.07	60.6			
37.00																		_								37	.00			. 50.0															-		
OFFSET	14.00 13.00	12.00	10.00	00.6	.7.00	-6.00	5.00	3.00	-2.00	-1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	6:00 2 00	00.7	0.00	00.0	1.00	12.00	3.00	14.00	9.00		OFFSET	15.00	14.00	12.00	11.00	10.00	8.00	.7.00	-6.00	4.00	3.00	-2.00	-1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	00.8 00 00	00.01	11.00
ORIGINAL LEVEL											38.37 38.37	38.35	38.29	38.30	38.28	38.24	20.13 28.21	38.23	38.26	38.27	38.28	38.28	38.24	· ·		ORIGINAL LEV	- 39.52	39.54 -		39.53 -	39.52 -	39.51	39.51	39.52	39.53	39.52	39.52	39.52	39.53	39.53	39.54	39.55 39.55	39.55	39.56	39.55 30.55	39.55	39.55
FINISHED LEVEL	38.81	38.82 38.79			38.79	38.76	38.74 38.71	38.68	38.62	38.65	38.65	38.62	38.68	38.71	38.74	38.76	<u>ک</u> 0.7 ک								I	FINISHED LEVE	EL						39.01	38.99 38.97	38.94	38.91	38.92	38.95	38.95	38.92	38.98	39.01 39.04	39.06	39.08			
37.00	SECT	ION: 2	5.000																			-				37	.00	SEC		: 45.0	000																
OFFSET	-14.00 -13.00	-12.00	-10.00	00.6-	-7.00	-6.00	2.00	90.4 -3.00	-2.00	-1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	00.9	00. /	0.00	10.00	11.00	12.00	13.00	14.00	15.00		OFFSET	-15.00	-14.00	-13.00 -12.00	-11.00	-10.00	-8.00	-7.00	-2 00 -2 00	4.00	-3.00	-2.00	-1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	5 00	6.00	7.00	00.8 00.00	10.00	11.00
ORIGINAL LEVEL																										ORIGINAL LEV	/EL 0.04	40.12	39.93 39.71	39.56	39.54	39.51	39.49	39.50	39.51	39.52	39.53	39.56 39.58	39.61	39.63	39.66	39.68 39.70	39.68	39.67	39.65 30.63	39.61	39.61
FINISHED LEVEL	38.71	38.69 38.66	38.64	38.63 38.60	38.59	38.59	38.58 38.58	38.48	38.52	38.54	38.53	38.50	38.46	38.53	38.53	38.53 20 E1	38.51	38.56	38.54	38.56					I	FINISHED LEVE	EL						39.03	39.00	38.89	38.87	38.88 22.21	38.91	38.91	38.88	38.87	38.90 38.93	38.95	38.98			
37.00	SECT	ION: 20	0.000																							37	.00	SEC	TION	: 40.0	000														_		
0	-14.00 .13.00	-12.00	.10.00	-9.00 8.00	-7.00	-6.00	-5.00	-3.00	-2.00	-1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	0.00	00.7	0.00	10.00	11.00	12.00	13.00	14.00	19.00		OFFSET	.15.00	-14.00	-13.00	.11.00	-10.00	-8.00	-7.00	-5.00	-4.00	-3.00	-2.00	-1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00 9.00	10.00	11.00
OFFSET 0:5		+   '	+.						-			-								+					F		10 ا	80	2 2	. 99.	. 71	22 23	52	51	20	49	64	48	84	48	<del>8</del>	64 64	64	22	20	46 43	52
OFFSET جَبَ ORIGINAL LEVEL																										ORIGINAL LEV	EL g	39.	80 80 80	33	39	8	39	39	39.	33	39	9. S	39.	39.	39.	5. 26. 26.	39.	39.	တ္တ် တြွ	39. 39.	39.











ig - Plotted: 17/04/2025	
NG24-30410-24D_Stage 24_Road Design.dv	
roject Files\30410-01-19\01 Detail Desigi	

								<u> </u>	_																			1			
3	7.00																														
OFFSET	-15.00	-14.00	-13.00	-12.00	-11.00	-10.00	-9.00	-8.00	-7.00	-6.00	-5.00	-4.00	-3.00	-2.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	10.00	11.00	12.00	13.00	14.00	15.00
ORIGINAL LE	39.41 Sec. 130	39.40	39.40	39.40	39.41	39.42	39.42	39.43	39.44	39.44	39.44	39.44	39.44	39.44	39.44	39.44	39.44	39.45	39.44	39.44	39.44	39.44	39.43	39.42	39.42	39.41	39.41	39.42	39.42	39.43	
FINISHED LEV	/EL								39.08	39.05	39.03	39.00	38.97	38.98	39.01	39.04	39.01	38.98	38.97	39.00	39.03	39.05	39.08								
		SE	CTI	ON:	60.0	000																									
									-					-							-			-							
3	7.00																														
OFFSET	-15.00	-14.00	-13.00	-12.00	-11.00	-10.00	-9.00	-8.00	-7.00	-6.00	-5.00	-4.00	-3.00	-2.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	10.00	11.00	12.00	13.00	14.00	15.00
ORIGINAL LE	VEL 730	39.45	39.45	39.45	39.45	39.45	39.45	39.45	39.46	39.46	39.46	39.46	39.46	39.46	39.46	39.45	39.44	39.42	39.41	39.41	39.42	39.42	39.42	39.43	39.43	39.44	39.44	39.44	39.44	39.45	
									2	4	2	6	യ്യ	2	8		8	22	90	æ	2	ഉ	2								

				_		_						-							_						_					
	37 00													-																
· · ·	01.00																													
OFFSET		-15.00	-14.00	-13.00	-12.00	-11.00	-10.00	-9.00	-8.00	-7.00	-6.00	-5.00	-4.00	-3.00	-2.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	10.00	11.00	12.00	13.00
ORIGINAL LE	EVEL	39.36	39.36	39.35	39.33	39.32	39.31	39.31	39.32	39.34	39.36	39.38	39.41	39.40	39.39	39.39	39.38	39.37	39.36	39.35	39.36	39.36	39.37	39.38	39.38	39.39	39.39	39.40	39.41	39.41
FINISHED LE	VEL				39.13	39.11	39.09	39.08	39.06	39.04	39.03	39.01	38.99	38.90	38.93	38.95		38.95	38.93	38.90	38.99	39.01	39.03	39.04						
			SE	CTI	ON:	75.	000																							

Hamilton City Council Development Engineering Unit ACCEPTED ENGINEERING PLANS Assessed for Related Resource Consent Conditions Only Library Reference: 011.2019.00007140.025 Address: Greenhill Stage 24 Date: 7/05/2025



OFFSET

FINISHED LEVEL

SECTION: 65.000

SECTION: 55.000

OFFSET	-15.00	-14.00	-13.00	-12.00	-11.00	-10.00	-9.00	-8.00	-7.00	-6.00	-5.00	4.00	-3.00	-2.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	10.00	11.00	12.00	13.00	14.00	15.00
ORIGINAL LEVEL	39.37	39.37	39.38	39.38	39.39	39.39	39.40	39.40	39.40	39.40	39.39	39.39	39.38	39.37	39.37	39.36	39.37	39.37	39.38	39.38	39.39	39.39	39.39	39.39	39.39	39.39	39.39	39.40	39.40	39.40	
FINISHED LEVEL									39.11	39.09	39.07	39.04	39.01	38.95	38.98	39.01	38.98	38.95	38.94	38.96	39.05	39.07	39.09								
		ç	SEC	TIO	N: 7	2																									
37.00									-																						

OLIGINAT TEALS 339.40 339.40 30.40 30.40 30.40 30.40 30.40 30.40 30.40 3

 $\begin{array}{c} -15\,00\\ -15\,00\\ -1.1,000\\ -1.1,000\\ -1.1,000\\ -1.1,000\\ -2.000\\ -2.000\\ -2.000\\ -1.000\\$ 

39.12 39.07 39.07 38.98 38.97 39.00 38.97 39.00 38.96 38.96 38.96 38.96 38.96 38.96 38.96 38.96 38.96 38.96 39.02 39.02 39.07

37.00																													
SET	-15.00	-14.00	-13.00	-12.00	-11.00	-10.00	-9.00	-8.00	-7.00	-6.00	-5.00	4.00	-3.00	-2.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	10.00	11.00	12.00	13.00
GINAL LEVEL	39.37	39.37	39.38	39.38	39.39	39.39	39.40	39.40	39.40	39.40	39.39	39.39	39.38	39.37	39.37	39.36	39.37	39.37	39.38	39.38	39.39	39.39	39.39	39.39	39.39	39.39	39.39	39.40	39.40
HED LEVEL									39.11	39.09	39.07	39.04	39.01	38.95	38.98	39.01	38.98	38.95	38.94	38.96	39.05	39.07	39.09						







																																	and co ame	onst endr	tru me	icti ent	on s			
В		Γ																															ACCEP Assessed for Library Reference Addree	D TED or Rela	Ha evelo ted	milton pment NG Resc 19.0	City C Engin	Councineerin Cons 7140	il g Unit <b>RIN</b> sent ( 0.025	I <b>G</b> Co
	38.00																	37.00															Da	te: 7/0	5/2	.025	aye	24		
с	OFFSET	3 -10.00	3 -8.00 -8.00	5 -7.00 8 -6.00	-5.00	5 -4.00	4 -2.00	7 -1.00	0.00	5 2.00	8 3.00	2 4.00 7 5.00	3 6.00	7 7.00	6 8.00 8.00	10.00	OFFSET		00.0- 9.00	0 -8.00 7 00	00.7- 9 -6.00	8 -5.00	8 -3.00	8 -2.00 8 -1.00	8 0.00	8 1.00 8 2.00	7 3.00	7 4.00 5 5.00	4 6.00 3 7.00	1 8.00	1 9.00 10.00		Subject	to all	nc	otes	and	l co	nditi	io
	ORIGINAL LEVEL	39.8	39.7 39.7	39.6	39.6	39.6	39.7	39.7	39.8	39.8	39.8	39.9 39.9	40.0	39.8	39.5	29. 29. 29.	ORIGINAL		39.0	39.1	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0 39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0									
	FINISHED LEVEL			39.35 39.32	39.30	39.28	39.19	39.22	39.25	39.19	39.17	39.19 39.28	39.30	39.33			FINISHED I	LEVEL		30.04	39.01	38.99	38.94	38.95 38.98	39.01	38.98 38.95	38.93	38.95 39.04	39.06 39.09											
		SE	CTION	: 0+04	0.00 (4	4)													SECTI	ON: (	0+080	.00 (8	)																	
		Γ		_									-		-						_																			
D	37.00																	37.00																36.00						
	OFFSET	-10.00	-9.00 -8.00	-6.00	-5.00	-4.00 2.00	-2.00	-1.00	0.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	00.00 00.00	9.00 10.00	OFFSET		00.6-	-8.00 ⊳	-00.9	-5.00	-3.00 -3.00	-2.00	0.00	1.00	3.00	4.00 5.00	6.00	8.00	9.00 10.00		OFFSET	-10.00	00.6-	-8.00	-00.9-	-5.00	-3.00	-2.00
	ORIGINAL LEVEL	39.49	39.52	39.54 39.55	39.58	39.61 20.65	39.68	39.72	39.75	39.82	39.78	39.67 39.58	39.51	39.48	39.47 30.46	04.00	ORIGINAL	LEVEL	39.10	39.10	39.11 39.11	39.12	39.12	39.13 39.13	39.14	39.15 39.15	39.16	39.16 39.16	39.16 39.15	39.15	39.15		ORIGINAL L	EVEL <sup>gg</sup>	38.86	38.85	30.00 38.85	38.84 38.84	38.84	38.83
	FINISHED LEVEL			39.15 39.13	39.11	39.02	39.01	39.04	39.07	39.01	39.00	39.02 39.11	39.13	39.15			FINISHED I	LEVEL		20 1E	39.12	39.10	38.99	39.01 39.04		39.04 39.01	39.07	39.10 39.12	39.14 39.17				FINISHED LE	VEL		20.02	38.90	38.88 38.86	38.83 38.83	38.77
		SE	CTION	: 0+03	0.00 (3	3)													SECTI	ON: (	0+070	.00 (7	)											ç	SECT	TION: C	+110.	00 (11	)	
E		_																																	_					_
	37.00																	38.00																37.00						
	OFFSET	-10.00	۵.00 8.00	-7.00	-5.00	00.4 00.00	-2.00	-1.00	0.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00 0 00	9.00 10.00	OFFSET		00.6-	-8.00 -	00.9-	-5.00	-3.00 4.00	-2.00	0.00	1.00 2.00	3.00	4.00 5.00	6.00	8.00	9.00 10.00		OFFSET	00.01-	00.6-	-8.00 7.00	-00.9-	4 00	-3.00	-2.00
	ORIGINAL LEVEL	38.81	38.81 38.81	38.78 38.75	38.73	38./0	20.02	38.66	38.66 28 67	38.56	38.61	38.66 38.70	38.75	38.80	38.83 38.83	00.00	ORIGINAL	LEVEL g	39.11	39.12	39.12 39.12	39.12	39.13	39.14 39.15	39.15	39.16 39.17	39.17	39.17 39.18	39.18 39.18	39.18	39.18		ORIGINAL L	EVEL 🖁	38.89 38.89	38.90	38.90	38.90 38.90	38.90	38.89
F	FINISHED LEVEL		38.72	38.68 38.66	38.64	38.61 38.68	38.52	38.55	38.58 38.58	38.52	38.58 22.21	38.61 38.64	38.66	38.68	38.70		FINISHED I	LEVEL		30 1E	39.10 39.13	39.11	39.06	39.07 39.10	39.13	39.10 39.07	39.06	39.06 39.06	39.07 39.07	39.08	39.08	39.08	FINISHED LE	VEL		() oc	38.89	38.87 38.85	38.82 39.92	38.85
		SE	CTION	: 0+02	0.00 (2	2)						i							SECTI	ON: (	0+060	.00 (6	)											ç	SECT	TON: 0	+100.	00 (10	)	
																			~																					_
_	37.00																	38.00																37.00						
	OFFSET	-10.00	-8.00 -8.00	-7.00	-5.00	-4-00 0.00	-2.00	-1.00	0.00	2.00	3.00	4.00 5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	10.00	OFFSET		-9.00	-8.00 -8.00	-00.9	-5.00	-4.00	-2.00	0.00	1.00	3.00	4.00 5.00	6.00	8.00	9.00 10.00		OFFSET		00.6-	-8.00		-5.00	-3.00	-Z.UU
G	ORIGINAL LEVEL																ORIGINAL		39.43	39.30	39.24	39.19	39.10 39.16	39.17 39.17	39.17	39.18 39.18	39.18	39.18 39.18	39.17 39.16	39.20	39.24		ORIGINAL L	EVEL 🏅	39.08	39.03	38.98	38.98	38.98	38.9b
	FINISHED LEVEL	00 50	38.49	38.47 38.45	38.42	38.33 28.26	38.39 38.39	38.42	38.47	38.39	38.36	38.33 38.39 38.39	38.42	38.45	38.47 38.40	00.43	FINISHED I	LEVEL		30.20	39.26	39.24	39.19	39.13 39.16	39.19	39.16 39.13	39.19	39.22 39.24	39.26 39.29				FINISHED LE	VEL		20.02	39.00	38.98 38.89	38.87	38.89
		SE	CTION	: 0+01	3.50 (1	1)													SECTI	ON: (	0+050	.00 (5	)												SEC	TION: (	)+090	.00 (9)	)	

Files/30410-01-19/01 Detail Design(524-30410-24D\_Stage 24\_Road Design.dwg - Plotted: 17/04/2025 エ

Refer to RFI tracker for further design



Refer to PEI tracker	4 5	6 7 8	
for further design and			-(20)
construction			
amendments			S & L Land Specialists
38.00	37.00	37.00	Email: info@sllp.co.nz P.O. Box 231, <u>Tauranaa 3144</u>
OLEREL         0.000         -1.000 </td <td>OLELSEL         00001- 0000- 000- 000- 00000- 00000- 0000- 000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000-</td> <td>OLEREI         00001- 0000- 000- 000- 00000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0</td> <td>www.sllp.co.nz</td>	OLELSEL         00001- 0000- 000- 000- 00000- 00000- 0000- 000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000-	OLEREI         00001- 0000- 000- 000- 00000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0000- 0	www.sllp.co.nz
ORIGINAL LEVEL 23 33 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	ORIGINAL LEVEL 8 8 8 3 3 7 8 2 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	ORIGINAL LEVEL 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	
FINISHED LEVEL	FINISHED LEVEL 28 8 16 96 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	FINISHED LEVEL L 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
B SECTION: 0+060.00	SECTION: 0+120.00	SECTION: 0+180.00	
38.00	37.00	37.00	-
OLERE         0.000 <th< td=""><td>OLELSEL         00         <t< td=""><td>OLEREL         00         01         0         01         0         01         0         01</td><td></td></t<></td></th<>	OLELSEL         00 <t< td=""><td>OLEREL         00         01         0         01         0         01         0         01</td><td></td></t<>	OLEREL         00         01         0         01         0         01         0         01	
ORIGINAL LEVEL 25 8 8 25 35 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	ORIGINAL LEVEL & K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	ORIGINAL LEVEL         8	
FINISHED LEVEL 50 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	FINISHED LEVEL 50 66 66 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	FINISHED LEVEL 89 99 95 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	Hamilton Chin Council
SECTION: 0+050.00	SECTION: 0+110.00	SECTION: 0+170.00	ACCEPTED ENGINEERING PLANS
H		-	Assessed for Related Resource Consent Conditions Only Ubrary Reference: 011,2019,00007140.025
28.00	27.00	27.00	Address: Greenhill Stage 24 Date: 7/05/2025
	31.W	31.W	Subject to all notes and conditions affixed
OLLOCI         Ó LOCIM         Í CL	OLLOCI         0 <td>OLLOGI         OLLOGI         <thollogi< th=""> <thollogi< th=""> <thollogi< td="" th<=""><td></td></thollogi<></thollogi<></thollogi<></td>	OLLOGI         OLLOGI <thollogi< th=""> <thollogi< th=""> <thollogi< td="" th<=""><td></td></thollogi<></thollogi<></thollogi<>	
URIGINAL LEVEL : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	URIGINAL LEVEL 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	UKIGINAL LEVEL 86 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
SECTION: 0+040.00	SECTION: 0+100.00	2ECTION: 0+ 100.00	
			NOTE
в 38.00	37.00	37.00	SUBJECT TO FINAL DESIGN.
OLEREL         00 <th< td=""><td>OFFSET         00         <th< td=""><td>OFFSET         000000000000000000000000000000000000</td><td>ALL DESIGNS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RITS OR SPECIFIED</td></th<></td></th<>	OFFSET         00 <th< td=""><td>OFFSET         000000000000000000000000000000000000</td><td>ALL DESIGNS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RITS OR SPECIFIED</td></th<>	OFFSET         000000000000000000000000000000000000	ALL DESIGNS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RITS OR SPECIFIED
ORIGINAL LEVEL 33 33 12 12 12 13 33 12 12 12 13 33 12 12 12 13 33 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	ORIGINAL LEVEL 02 33 25 25 33 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	ORIGINAL LEVEL 33300 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Rev DESCRIPTION DRN CKD APP DATE
FINISHED LEVEL 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	FINISHED LEVEL 92 10 10 88 82 82 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	FINISHED LEVEL 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Constraint         Constra
SECTION: 0+030.00	SECTION: 0+090.00	SECTION: 0+150.00	
F			
38.00	38.00	36.00	SURVEYED DESIGNED GG 29.07.24 COORDINATE SYSTEM:
OFFSET 0000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	OFFSET 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OFFSET 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 12 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 00 12 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: HEIGHT DATUM: ORIGIN OF HEIGHT:
·         ·	0RIGINAL LEVEL 8, 8, 8, 8, 9, 9, 8, 8, 8, 9, 9, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10	ORIGINAL LEVEL 8 8 8 9 6 8 9 8 9 8 9 9 9 9 8 8 9 8 9 1 1 1 1 1 1	TITLE
P         P	C         C	C         C	JACQUES TERRACE
6 SECTION: 0+020.00	ା         ଜ         ش         ش         آ	<u>    ଜାଜାଜାନାନ</u> ାନାନାନାନାନାନାନାନାନାନାନାନାନାନାନ	CRUSS-SECTIONS
			(CH 0+000 - CH 0+180)
37.00	38.00	37.00	PREPARED FOR
OFFSET 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OFFSET 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0	OFFSET 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	Chedworth
N         I	ORIGINAL LEVEL         Sign 2         Sign 2 <th< td=""><td>·         ·</td><td>Personal Automatics</td></th<>	·         ·	Personal Automatics
H         c <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""></thc<></thc<></thc<></thc<>	c         c <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""></thc<></thc<></thc<></thc<>	c         c	ORIGINAL SCALES @ A3 STATUS 1:250 APPROVAL
SECTION: 0+010.00	SECTION: 0+070.00	····································	DRAWING NO
	4 5	6 7 8	24-30410-24D-K14 2

		36.00																					ŝ	36.00															_										
0	FFSET		00.00 9.00	8.00	7.00	6.00	0.00	9.4 00 00	200	7 U	8.6		00		00.0	00	<u>5.00</u>	2.00	3.00	9.00	0.00	OFFSE	-	¢	00.0	8.00	7.00	5.00	4.00	3.00	1.00	00.0	1.00	5.00	00.0	2.00	5.00	00.7	8.00	0.00									
0	RIGINAL I	LEVEL	8.85 - 1 8.85 -	8.84 -	8.84 -	8.83	- 79.8	8.81 -	- 92 8	- 77 8	0./4 - 8 7 0 (	0.1 Z	20.03	- 99 99 99 99	0.00 8 76		8.37 (	8.04	37.71	7.48	_	ORIGIN	AL LE	:VEL {	8.76 -1	8.76 -	8.77 -	- 07.0	- 08.8	8.81 -	8.83 -	8.84	8.85	8.86	0.0/ 2010	8.48	8.16 (	87.81	7.59	37.41 1									
۶I	NISHED L	EVEL	<u> </u>	e	en l		0.93 3	8.91 3 8.80 3	5 97 8	873 3	0.1.0	0 57 0 2 2	864 3			<u> </u>	າ ແ	<u>ი</u> ი	~ ~	e		FINISHE	D LE\	 VEL	m m	8.79 3	8.76 3	8.71 3	8.69 3	8.64 3	8.70 3		8.70 3	8.67 3	0.14	<u>ი</u> ი	e	ლ (	со с	ົຕ									
			SEC	CTIC	)N: 0-	ہ 240ء	י م 0.00	به ري	יין כ	יין כ	o	٣	یہ  د	<u>ה</u> כ	<u>ר</u>										SE	стіо	ონი N: 0+	ა ო 300.(	ۍ 00	<u>ري</u> ا	ی ب	•	ĉ	<u>ہ</u> ہ	_ د														
																																			_		$\square$	_	_		_	-	_						
		36.00	0 0	0		_	_														_		3	6.00	2 6		0.0														Re	ter	to R	RFI	tr	ac	cke	er	
0	FFSET		-10.0 -9.00	-8.00	-7.00	20.9 20.0	-0. -0.	4 d 9.0		2017		0.0	00 00	3 00	00 P		6.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	2.0	OFFSET	•		-10.01	-8.00	-7.00	-5.00	4.00	-3.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	00.5	5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00 0.00	10.00	to	or tu	irth	er	de	esi 	gr	1	
0	RIGINAL I	LEVEL	38.87 38.86	38.86	38.85	38.84	38.84	38.83 38.83	38.78	38.75	38.77	38 70	38.68	38.67	38.73	38.48	38.23	37.91	37.59	37.39		ORIGIN	AL LE	EVEL	38.77	38.77	38.77	38.79	38.80	38.81	38.82	38.81	38.80	38.79	38.61	38.37	38.06	37.75	37.54	37.40	a	nd	cons	str Im	uc	:ti(	on	1	
۶I	NISHED L	EVEL					38.9/	38.94 38.01	38.82	30.02	38.76	20.73 28.73	38.70	38.76	01.00							FINISHE	J LE∖	/EL				38.83	38.80	38.69	38.67 38.67		38.64	38.61	00.00							am	end	Im	ier	115	5		
			SEC	CTIC	)N: 0∙	+230	.00																		SE	CTIO	N: 0+	290.0	00															Dev	Hami elopn	ilton nent	City ( Engi	Cour ineer	icil ing l
																T																			-						AU	jut	for Re	U late	EN ed Re	l <b>G</b> esol	IN urce	$\frac{1}{2}$	: <b>K</b>
		36.00	2 0	0	0	0													0	0	5		3	6.00	2 0		0 0		, 0	0			0				0	0	0.0	, 0	Library	y Refere	nce: 0	11.2	2019	9.00	000	714	10.C
0	FFSET		3 -10.0	-8.0	9-7.0		- - -	0.4 0.0	0	-10			00 	300	400		0.0	00.7 1	8.00	9.00	0.01	OFFSE			-10.C	-8.0	-7.0	-5.0	-4.0	-3.0	-1.0	0.00	1.00	2.00	400	5.00	1 6.00	7.00	8.00	10.0		Add [	Date: 7/	/05/	/202	25	age	24	
0	RIGINALI	LEVEL	88. 88. 88. 88. 88. 88. 88. 88. 88. 88.	38.8	38.8(	38. 38. 38. 38. 38. 38. 38. 38. 38. 38.	30.0	20.02 20.02 0.02	38.78	30.70	30.7	20.12 28.7	38.70	38.64	30.00	38.5	38.2	37.9	37.62	37.42	ус. /с	ORIGIN	AL LE	VEL	38.7	38.78	38.78	38.76	38.70	38.8(	38 8 38 8 38 8	38.8	38.8	38.86	30.00	38.5(	38.2	37.87	37.55	37.4(	Su	ıbjec <sup>.</sup>	t to a	ll r	note	es a	and	d c	on
۶I	NISHED L	EVEL					39.US	39.03	38.88	38.85	0.00	38 70	38.76	38.87	20.00							FINISHE	) LEV	/EL				38.84	38.81	38.79	38.64 38.64	38.61	38.58	38.55	00.00														
			SEC	CTIC	N: 0∙	+220	.00																		SE	CTIO	N: 0+	280.0	00							~													
		20.00																																															
0	FEGET	36.00	8.8	8	8	8 8	3 8	88	3 8	3 8	3 8	3 8	2 6	38	3 6	3 8	88	88	8	8	3	OFFSET		6.00 ;	8. S	8	88	38	88	8	8 8	8	00	88	3 8	88	8	88	88	3 8									
0			87 -10 85 -9.	84 -8.	83 -7.	81 81 6	י י הי	79 -4. 78 -4	5 	76 -1	75 01	10 C/	24 21	73 5	-0 C/	+ 5 7 05	35 6.	98 7.1	63 8.	40 9.	2	OFFSE		-\/=\ (	85 -10 84 <u>-9</u>	83 -8	82 -7. 82 6	81 - <sup>5</sup> .	80 4.	79 -3.	/9 -Z. 79 -1.	78 0.	78 1.(	78 2.	0 0/ 88 4	65 5.	34 6.	00 7.	66 8.	5 10 10									
0	RIGINAL	LEVEL	89 89 89	80.00	38.	90 38 38	2 20 20	2 . 28. 50. 28. 50.		s r	o S S	אַ מ נ מ		4 00 8 00	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	s æ	ŝ	37.	37.	37.	_	ORIGIN	AL LE	VEL ç	Ř Ř	38.	ŝ	3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 38. 38.	2 38.	7 7 38 88		5 38.	5 38. 1 38.	o S S	ŝ	38.	8 8 8	37.1	5									
۶I	NISHED L	EVEL				38.5	20.0	38.5	1.00	2000	1.00	38.9	38.6	30.00	20.00							FINISHE	) LEV	/EL				38.7	38.7	38.7	38.6 38.6		38.5	38.5	2000														
			SEG		0N: 0∙	+210	.00									_			_						SE	CHO	N: 0+	270.0	00		_					_													
		36.00																					3	36.00																			37.00						Τ
0	FFSET		00.0 9.00	8.00	7.00	6.00	00.0	4.00 2.00	000	1 00	00.0	8.6	8.0		00.0	8.0	00.0	00.7	3.00	00.0	0.0	OFFSET	-	( (	0.00	8.00	7.00	5.00	4.00	3.00	Z.00	00.0	1.00	8	00.0	00.5	9.00	00.7	00.00	0.00	OFF	SET		0.00	9.00 8.00	7.00	00.9	5.00	4.00
0	RIGINAL I	LEVEL	8.91 -1 8.90 -	8.89	8.87	- 8.80	0.00	0.85 85			0.04 8,83		20.00	20.0 2 83 2 83	0.02 8.81		8.69	8.43	8.18	7.85 9	-	ORIGIN	AL LE	EVEL	8.86 8.85 	8.84	8.83 8.83	8.81	8.80	8.78 -	8.80 8.82 8.82	8.84	8.85	8.87	0.09 8 00	8.71	8.47 (	8.16	7.82 8 7 66 0	. ←	ORI	GINAL	LEVEL	8.84	8.84 8.85 8.85	8.83	8.83	8.83	8.84
FIN	NISHED L	EVEL	3 9.05 3	9.02 3	3.99 3	3.97 3 257 3	5 CS.2	5.92 3 865 3		00.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.91	ي م		0070	0 7 3 2 07		<u> </u>	0 0	r.	З		FINISHE	D LE\	́ √EL	ლი ო	0 00	rō r	3.82 3	3.80 3	3.78 3	5.05 3 3.62 3	0 00 	3.56 3	3.53 3	0.09 3	n N	e S	e o	ຕ ຕ	<u>с</u>	FINIS	SHED L	EVEL	ςς τ	<u>, v</u>	3.90 3	3.87 3	3.85 3	3.82 3
			SE(	CTIC	N: 0-	א א 200+	ਲ   .00	8 8 8	5 6	5	ŏ	5	5 6	5 6	5 6	5									SE	CTIO	N: 0+	ස 260.(	3   <del>8</del> 00	8	* *		g	8 R	Š									S	ECTI	) : NO	0+32	ළ 20.00	8 8
			_																																-			_											
		37.00																					3	36.00																			37.00						
0	FFSET		-10.00 -9.00	-8.00	-7.00	-6.00	00.c-	9.4.00 0.4	2000	-1.00	0.00	1 00	200	3 00	0.00 4 00	2005	6.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	0.01	OFFSET	-		-10.00	-8.00	-7.00	-5.00	-4.00	-3.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	0.00 4 00	5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	10.00	OFF	SET		-10.00	-9.00 -8.00	-7.00	-6.00	-5.00	-4.00
_	RIGINAL I	LEVEL	38.95 · 38.95	38.95	38.94	38.94	38.93	38.93 28 03	28.03	20.00	20.93	20.94	28 04	20 07 20 07	20.34 28 04	28 04	38.94	38.94	38.94	38.92	06.00	ORIGIN	AL LE	:VEL {	38.87 38.86	38.85	38.84	38.80	38.79	38.77	38.74 38.74	38.73	38.72	38.76	20.00 28.84	38.69	38.43	38.16	37.84 27.66	20.10	ORI	GINAL	LEVEL	38.78	38.79 38.79	38.78	38.78	38.78	38.77
0				1.0	1 × 1	· ~   •	'	- 19	- I <sup>C</sup>	`	- 14	- 19	- C			- 0			-		-													~ ~	+					-							0	82	1 c7
FIN	NISHED L	EVEL	9.11	9.08	9.05	9.03	9.01	8.98 8.01	8 94	8 07	0.91	8 07	8 94	000	0.00	9.05	9.08	9.11				FINISHE	) LEV	/EL				8.87	8.85	8.83	8.67 8.67		8.61	8.56	0.0						FINIS	SHED L	EVEL		8.8 8.8 7 8.8 7 8.8 7 8.8 7 8.8 8.8 8.8	8.0	8.8	ω i	- α α







![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **Refer to RFI tracker** for further design and construction amendments

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

Project Files(30410-01-19/01 Drawing Presentation Files(19-30410-01 - Stage 24 Engineering Design Plan Set awg - Plotted: 16/04/20

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Accepted Engineering Unit Assessed for Related Resource Consent Conditions Only Library Reference: 011.2019.00007140.025 Address: Greenhill Stage 24 Date: 7/05/2025 Subject to all notes and conditions affixed

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

Plotted:

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

8	_	
TURE LOTS BUTTALS GGE PERIMETER FORMWATER PIPE FORMWATER MANHOLE	A	SHRIMPTON & LIPINSKI LAND DEVELOPMENT & DESIGN SPECIALISTS Ph. 07 577 6069 Emoil: info@sitga.co.nz P.O. Box 231, Tauranga 3140 www.sitga.co.nz
ONWATER CONNECTION ETICULATION DISCHARGE) FORMWATER CONNECTION WALE DISCHARGE) EADWALL ATCHPIT DUBLE CATCHPIT OW ARROWS /ERLAND FLOW ARROWS DNTOUR MAJOR (1.0) DNTOUR MINOR (0.25)	В	<ul> <li>NOTES:</li> <li>ALL STORMWATER MANHOLES ARE 10500 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.</li> <li>ALL STORMWATER MAINS ARE uPVC RJ SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE:</li> <li>ALL LEADS TO CATCHPITS ARE uPVC RJ SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE:</li> <li>SINGLE CATCHPITS 2500</li> <li>DOUBLE CATCHPITS: 3000</li> <li>ALL STORMWATER LOT CONNECTIONS ARE RRJ OPVC SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.</li> <li>ALL LATERALS ARE RRJ OPVC SN16:</li> <li>SINGLE DWELLING: 1000.</li> <li>ATTERALS BRANCHING OFF 1500 ARE 1000.</li> <li>ALL WORKS TO BE CARRIED OUT AND ALL MATERALS TO COMPLY WITH THE RITS.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK THE RELEVANT LEVEL OF THE FIRST PIPE LAID WHERE PIPES CROSS OVER BEFORE LAYING THE SECOND PIPELINE AND CONFIRM THAT SUFFICIENT CLEARANCE IS AVAILABLE.</li> <li>REFER TO DRAWING 30410-01-S24-SW4 FOR STANDARD DETAILS.</li> <li>DEPTH OF A STORMWATER CONNECTION TO BE MINIMUM OF 0.9M AND MAXIMUM OF 1.5M (1.2M TYPICAL) UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.</li> <li>ALL CATS HER RITS.</li> <li>LOTS STORMWATER DISCHARGE TO SWALES OUTET TO BER OCK PROTECTED, MINIMUM 150mm ALD.</li> </ul>
	D	
	E	
	F	Rev         DESCRIPTION         DRN         CKD         APP         DATE           0         PRELIMINARY         NW         13/8/24           1         ISSUED FOR EPA         NW         HB         PH         16/8/24           2         ISSUED FOR RFI         TM         RH         PH         20/30/4/25           3         ISSUED FOR RFI         TM         PH         03/04/25           5         RFI RESPONSE         NW         HB         16/04/25           5         RFI RESPONSE         NM         HB         28/04/25           5         RFI RESPONSE         TM         HB         DATE           COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZOB 2000 - MT EDEN         ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824         HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953           ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451         TITLE         TITLE         State
	G	STORMWATER LINES H & H4 LONGSECTION
8	Н	PREPARED FOR AREAS L,U & K ORIGINAL SCALES @ A3 H 1:1000 V 1:250 DO NOT SCALE DIMENSIONS DRAWING NO 30410-01-S24-SW2 5

S

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

300Ø

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

COPYRIGHT ON THIS DRAWING IS RESER

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

8		$\sim$				
ID: FUTURE LOTS ABUTTALS STAGE PERIMETER STORMWATER PIPE STORMWATER MANHOLE	A	SHRIMPTON & LIPINSKI LAND DEVELOPMENT & DESIGN SPECIALISTS Ph. 07 577 6069 Email: indr@sltga.co.nz P.O. Box 231, Tauranga 3140 www.sltga.co.nz				
STORMWATER MANHOLE STORMWATER CONNECTION (RETICULATION DISCHARGE) STORMWATER CONNECTION (SWALE DISCHARGE) HEADWALL CATCHPIT DOUBLE CATCHPIT FLOW ARROWS OVERLAND FLOW ARROWS CONTOUR MAJOR (1.0) CONTOUR MINOR (0.25)	В	<ul> <li>NOTES:</li> <li>ALL STORMWATER MANHOLES ARE 1050Ø UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.</li> <li>ALL STORMWATER MAINS ARE uPVC RJ SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE:</li> <li>ALL LEADS TO CATCHPITS ARE uPVC RJ SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: <ul> <li>SINGLE CATCHPITS: 225Ø</li> <li>DOUBLE CATCHPITS: 300Ø</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. ALL STORMWATER LOT CONNECTIONS ARE RRJ OPVC SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.</li> <li>5. ALL LATERALS ARE RRJ OPVC SN16: <ul> <li>SINGLE DWELLING: 100Ø.</li> <li>LATERALS BRANCHING OFF 150Ø ARE 100Ø.</li> </ul> </li> <li>6. ALL WORKS TO BE CARRIED OUT AND ALL MATERIALS TO COMPLY WITH THE RITS.</li> <li>7. THE CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK THE RELEVANT LEVEL OF THE FIRST PIPE LAID WHERE PIPES CROSS OVER BEFORE LAYING THE SECOND PIPELINE AND CONFIRM THAT SUFFICIENT CLEARANCE IS AVAILABLE.</li> <li>8. REFER TO DRAWING 30410-01-S24-SW4 FOR STANDARD DETAILS.</li> <li>9. DEPTH OF A STORMWATER CONNECTION TO BE MINIMUM OF 0.9M AND MAXIMUM OF 1.5M (1.2M TYPICAL) UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.</li> <li>10. ALL CACHPITS TO INCLUEDE STANDARD OUTIL FT</li> </ul>				
	D	BAFTLE AS PER RITS. 11. LOTS STORMWATER DISCHARGE TO SWALES OUTLET TO BE ROCK PROTECTED, MINIMUM 150mm ALD.				
er D	F.	Rev         DESCRIPTION         DRN         CKD         APP         DATE           0         PRELIMINARY         NW         13/8/24           1         ISSUED FOR EPA         NW         HB         PH         16/8/24           2         ISSUED FOR RFI         TM         RH         PH         23/10/24           3         ISSUED FOR RFI         TM         RH         PH         23/0/24           4         RFI RESPONSE         NW         HB         16/04/25           4         RFI RESPONSE         NW         HB         16/04/25           5         DATE         NAME         DATE         DATE           COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN         ORIGIN OF COORDINATES:BPV DPS 178/24         HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953           ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451         TITLE         TITLE         TITLE				
EERING PLANS Consent Conditions Only 7140.025 24	G	STORMWATER LINES I & I3 LONGSECTION				
L conditions affixed	Н	AREAS L,U & K ORIGINAL SCALES @ A3 STATUS H 1:1000 V 1:250 DRAWING NO 30410-01-S24-SW2B AREAS L,U & K FOR APPROVAL REVISION 4				
		COPYRIGHT ON THIS DRAWING IS RESERVED				

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

Project Files/30410-01-19/01 Drawing Presentation Files/19-30410-01 - Stage 24 Engineering Design Plan Set.dwg - Plottect: 17/04/2/

ID:	.	
FUTURE LOTS ABUTTALS STAGE PERIMETER STORMWATER PIPE STORMWATER MANHOLF	A	SHRIMPTON & LIPINSKI LAND DEVELOPMENT & DESIGN SPECIALISTS Ph. 07 577 6069 Email: info@stiga.co.nz P.O. Box 231, Tauranga 3140 www.sltga.co.nz
STORMWATER MANHOLE STORMWATER CONNECTION RETICULATION DISCHARGE) STORMWATER CONNECTION SWALE DISCHARGE) HEADWALL CATCHPIT DOUBLE CATCHPIT FLOW ARROWS DVERLAND FLOW ARROWS CONTOUR MAJOR (1.0) CONTOUR MINOR (0.25)		<ul> <li>NOTES:</li> <li>ALL STORMWATER MANHOLES ARE 1050Ø UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.</li> <li>ALL STORMWATER MAINS ARE uPVC RJ SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE:</li> <li>ALL LEADS TO CATCHPITS ARE uPVC RJ SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE:</li> <li>SINGLE CATCHPITS: 2250</li> <li>DOUBLE CATCHPITS: 2250</li> <li>DOUBLE CATCHPITS: 2250</li> <li>ALL STORMWATER LOT CONNECTIONS ARE RRJ OPVC SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.</li> <li>ALL STORMWATER LOT CONNECTIONS ARE RRJ</li> <li>OPVC SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.</li> <li>ALL LATERALS ARE RRJ OPVC SN16:</li> <li>SINGLE DWELLING: 1000.</li> <li>ALL VORKS TO BE CARRIED OUT AND ALL MATERIALS TO COMPLY WITH THE RITS.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK THE RELEVANT LEVEL OF THE FIRST PIPE LAID WHERE PIPES CROSS OVER BEFORE LA'NING THE SECOND PIPELINE AND CONFIRM THAT SUFFICIENT CLEARANCE IS AVAILABLE.</li> <li>REFER TO DRAWING 30410-01-S24-SW4 FOR STANDARD DETAILS.</li> <li>DEPTH OF A STORMWATER CONNECTION TO BE MINIMUM OF 0.9M AND MAXIMUM OF 1.5M (1.2M TOPICAU LINUESCE OTHER UNICATED</li> </ul>
	D E	TYPICAL) UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED. 10. ALL CATCHPITS TO INCLUDE STANDARD OUTLET BAFFLE AS PER RITS. 11. LOTS STORMWATER DISCHARGE TO SWALES OUTLET TO BE ROCK PROTECTED, MINIMUM 150mm ALD.
RFI tracker ther design instruction ndments	F.	Rev         DESCRIPTION         DRN         CKD         APP         DATE           0         PRELIMINARY         NW         13/8/24           1         ISSUED FOR EPA         NW         HB         PH         16/8/24           2         ISSUED FOR RFI         TM         RH         PH         23/10/24           3         ISSUED FOR RFI         TM         PH         03/04/25           4         RFI RESPONSE         NW         HB         16/04/25           V         DATE         NAME         DATE           SURVEYED         DESIGNED         ORIGIN OF COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN           ORIGIN OF COORDINATES:BPV DPS 17824         HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953           ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451         TITLE
ing Unit <b>RING PLANS</b> Insent Conditions Only	G	STORMWATER LINES 14, 16 & 17 LONGSECTION
0.025		GREENHILL GREENHILL Properties Limited
RFI tracker ther design instruction ndments	E F	Rev       DESCRIPTION       DRN       CKD       APP       DAT         0       PRELIMINARY       NW       13/8         1       ISSUED FOR EPA       NW       HB       PH       13/8         2       ISSUED FOR RFI       TM       RH       PH       23/04         4       RFI RESPONSE       NW       HB       16/04         4       RFI RESPONSE       NW       HB       16/04         1       DATE       NAME       DATE       NAME       DATE         SURVEYED       DESIGNED       COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN       ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824         HEIGHT DATUM:       MOTURIKI DATUM 1953       -ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: SSOT SO 42451       TITLE         STORMWATER         LINES 14, 16 & 17         LONGSECTION         PREPARED FOR

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

30410-01-19/01 Drawing Presentation Files/19-30410-01 - Stage 24 Engineering Design Plan Set.dwg - P

8	٦	$\sim$
ND:		(s&L)
FUTURE LOTS		SHRIMPTON & LIPINSKI
ABUTTALS	A	LAND DEVELOPMENT &
• STAGE PERIMETER		Ph. 07 577 6069
STORMWATER PIPE		P.O. Box 231, Tauranga 3140
STORMWATER MANHOLE	$\vdash$	www.sltga.co.nz
(RETICULATION DISCHARGE)		NOTES:
STORMWATER CONNECTION		ALL STORMWATER MANHOLES ARE 10500 UNLESS     NOTED OTHERWISE.
(SWALE DISCHARGE)		2. ALL STUKMWATER MAINS ARE UPVC RJ SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE: 3. ALL LEADS TO CATCHDUS ARE UPVC DI CN46
HEADWALL	B	ALL LEADS TO CATCHPTTS ARE UPVC RJ SN16     UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE:     SINGLE CATCHPTS: 22568
CATCHPIT		
DOUBLE CATCHPIT		ALL STORINIVATER LOT CONNECTIONS ARE RKJ     OPVC SN16 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.     ALL LATERALS ARE RR LOPVC SN16
FLOW ARROWS	┢	ALL LATERALS ARE RKJ OF VC SN10.     SINGLE DWELLING: 1000.     TWO/THREE DWELLINGS: 1500
- OVERLAND FLOW ARROWS		- LATERALS BRANCHING OFF 1500 ARE 1000. 6. ALL WORKS TO BE CARRIED OUT AND ALL
CONTOUR MAJOR (1.0)		MATERIALS TO COMPLY WITH THE RITS. 7. THE CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK THE RELEVANT
CONTOUR MINOR (0.25)	С	LEVEL OF THE FIRST PIPE LAID WHERE PIPES CROSS OVER BEFORE LAYING THE SECOND
· · /		PIPELINE AND CONFIRM THAT SUFFICIENT CLEARANCE IS AVAILABLE.
		<ol> <li>REFER TO DRAWING 30410-01-S24-SW4 FOR STANDARD DETAILS.</li> </ol>
		9. DEPTH OF A STORMWATER CONNECTION TO BE MINIMUM OF 0.9M AND MAXIMUM OF 1.5M (1.2M
		TYPICAL) UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED. 10. ALL CATCHPITS TO INCLUDE STANDARD OUTLET
		BAFFLE AS PER RITS. 11. LOTS STORMWATER DISCHARGE TO SWALES
		OUTLET TO BE ROCK PROTECTED, MINIMUM 150mm ALD.
	D	
	$\vdash$	
	ľ	
		Rev         DESCRIPTION         DRN         CKD         APP         DATE           0         PRELIMINARY         NW         13/8/24
		1         ISSUED FOR EPA         NW         HB         PH         16/8/24           2         ISSUED FOR RFI         TM         RH         PH         23/10/24
		3         ISSUED FOR RFI         TM         PH         03/04/25           4         RFI RESPONSE         NW         HB         16/04/25
		NAME DATE NAME DATE
	1	
		COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN
		COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES:BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 DRIGIN DE HEIGHT: SSG7 SQ 42451
		COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 - ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE
		COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE
		COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES:BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE STORMWATER
	G	COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE STORMWATER LINES J & J7
	G	COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE STORMWATER LINES J & J7 LONGSECTION
	G	COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE STORMWATER LINES J & J7 LONGSECTION
	G	COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE STORMWATER LINES J & J7 LONGSECTION
	G	COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE STORMWATER LINES J & J7 LONGSECTION PREPARED FOR
	G	COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE STORMWATER LINES J & J7 LONGSECTION PREPARED FOR
	G	COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE STORMWATER LINES J & J7 LONGSECTION PREPARED FOR
	G	COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE STORMWATER LINES J & J7 LONGSECTION PREPARED FOR Chedworth AREAS L,U & K ORIGINAL SCALES @ A3 STATUS H 1:1000 V 1:250 FOR APPROVAL
	G	COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE STORMWATER LINES J & J7 LONGSECTION PREPARED FOR Chedworth AREAS L,U & K ORIGINAL SCALES @ A3 H 1:1000 V 1:250 DO NOT SCALE DIMENSIONS DRAWING NO REVISION
	G	COORDINATE SYSTEM: NZGD 2000 - MT EDEN ORIGIN OF COORDINATES: BPV DPS 17824 HEIGHT DATUM: MOTURIKI DATUM 1953 ORIGIN OF HEIGHT: SS507 SO 42451 TITLE STORMWATER LINES J & J7 LONGSECTION PREPARED FOR AREAS L, U & K ORIGINAL SCALES @ A3 STATUS H 1:1000 V 1:250 DO NOT SCALE DIMENSIONS DRAWING NO 30410-01-S24-SW3 4

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

8	,	
D: FUTURE LOTS ABUTTALS STAGE PERIMETER STORMWATER PIPE STORMWATER MANHOLE STORMWATER CONNECTION (RETICULATION DISCHARGE) STORMWATER CONNECTION (SWALE DISCHARGE) HEADWALL CATCHPIT DOUBLE CATCHPIT FLOW ARROWS OVERLAND FLOW ARROWS CONTOUR MAJOR (1.0) CONTOUR MINOR (0.25) 100YR EVENT PONDING(0.15-0.2mm) 100YR EVENT PONDING(0.2mm)	А В С	<ul> <li>SHRIMPTON &amp; LIPINSKI LAND DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEVELOPME</li></ul>
	E	
	F	Rev         DESCRIPTION         DRN         CKD         APP         DATE           7         RFI RESPONSE         HB         RH         28/04/25           8         RFI RESPONSE         HB         RH         7/05/25           9         NAME         NW         HB         RH         07/05/25           9         0         0         0         0         0           9         0         0         0         0         0           9         0         0         0         0         0           9         0         0         0         0         0         0           9         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           9         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           9         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           9         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0
/ D /	G	STORMWATER LINES J7 LONGSECTION & DETAILS
8	H	Chedworth         AREAS L,U & K         ORIGINAL SCALES @ A3         H 1:1000 V 1:250         DO NOT SCALE DIMENSIONS         DRAWING NO         30410-01-S24-SW3A

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

LEGEN	ID:
	FU
	AB
	ST
	WA
====	ΕX
۲	WA
	WA
>	FL

Hamilton City Council Development Engineering Unit ACCEPTED ENGINEERING PLANS Assessed for Related Resource Consent Conditions Only Library Reference: 011.2019.00007140.025 Address: Greenhill Stage 24 Date: 7/05/2025

Subject to all notes and conditions affixed

# Refer to RFI tracker for further design and construction amendments

767 768 709 RADIATA ACCE WWMH 3.1 BYTENSON 310 1500 PVC WWMH 3.2.2 776 7775 80 700 7776 7775 80 7772 WWMH 3.2.1 80 7772 WWMH 3.2.1	Extg WWIN26019
	019

	WWMH 3-1	1050mmØ		WWMH 3-2	1050mmØ	WWMH 3-3	1050mmØ	EXTG WWN260 1800mmØ
	(	<u> </u>		SI			====	
DATUM ELEV: 28	.00			TOBOTTO	STA: 53.17 _ OP EL: 38.31 OM EL: 37.99	J		
PIPE NUMBER			WW 3-1		WW 3-2		WW 3	-3
LID LEVEL	38.97			38.92		38.56		39.81
INVERT ELEVATIONS		37.59		37.22	37.19	37.07	37.02	35.90
DEPTH	1.38			1.73		1.54		5.57
DISTANCE			35.42		20.80		17.30	)
SIZE & GRADIENT			150Ø @ 1.04%		150Ø @ 0.5	8%	150Ø@8	6.50%
WASTEWATER NET	VOR	K LINE	- 3 LONGSEC	TION				

WWMH 3-2-1 1050mm@ WWMH 3-2-2 1050mm@ WWMH 3-2 1050mm@ STORMWATER STA: 29.42 TOP EL: 38.16 BOTTOM EL: 37.56 DATUM ELEV: 32.00 PIPE NUMBER WW 3-2-1 WW 3-2-2 38.92 38.95 LID LEVEL 37.41 37.26 65 37.38 INVERT ELEVATIONS 37 1.73 .57 28 DEPTH DISTANCE 20.05 11.69 150Ø @ 1.2% 150Ø @ 1.09 SIZE & GRADE

WASTEWATER NETWORK LINE- 3-2 LONGSECTION

# t Files/30410-01-19/01 Drawing Presentation Files/19-30410-01 - Stage 24 Engineering Design Plan Set dwg - Plotted: 16/042025

![](_page_46_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

Hamilton City Council Development Engineering Unit

**ACCEPTED ENGINEERING PLANS** 

![](_page_48_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

ject Files/30410-01-19/01 Drawing Presentation Files/19-30410-01 - Stage 24 Engineering Design Plan Set.dwg - Plottect: 16/04/202

							HCC Comments 24/04/2025	
Number	RFI	Recommended Resolution	S&L Comment	Comment	HCC COMMENTS 11/04/2025	S&L Comment.		S&L cor
Table 1 – General	The overall level of detail in the documentation isn't considered to be of sufficient detail to sumort on					1	I	
observation/recommendation	EPA design.							
-	Detailed calculations supporting the design are incomplete. Overall, there seems to be significant	Resolution of specific items are included in the tables	The Beca reports utilised for this design:	Complete.	An overarching stormwater report or WIA is still required. The Beca report was prepared to support	As agreed this will be actioned at a later stage	Noted	
	reliance on conceptual work undertaken by Beca to support the CDP. It is expected that all design calculations would need to be revised to support an EPA design.	should be supported by a more comprehensive	- Beca Infrastructure report 2016 - Beca WIA 2016		resource consent applications and does not provide appropriate detail for Detailed engineering design. The design for Area U (Stage 24) and surrounding stages have also been altered significantly			
		stormwater design document – ideally a Water impact Assessment (MIA) prenared for this stage of	Beca SW Design report, 2016     With reference to the WIA, the existing document creates the design		from the Beca report requiring further assessment and analysis to ensure the stormwater management system meets the objectives of the Beca report and do not have adverse effects on the			
T1.1		development.	philosophy of the development and extends its forecast out to 2028. As		constructed stormwater system or downstream receiving environment. The report can however be			
			with any WIA it is an assessment of the capability for the local infrastructure to accommodate the development, this is still the case.		prepared following the EPA approval process. HCC can provide further guidance on this item as required.			
			The detailed design supersedes and improves upon the WIA.					
	No O&M plan appears to have been submitted with the EPA package – typically this would be expected.	Please update existing O&M plan to be submitted for	Will be provided. Complete.	Complete. Plan provided.	O&M Manual is very generic. HCC to liaise with Mike Safey during construction confirm	We await the requirements during construction that meet city waters	Noted	
T1 2		review by HCC.			requirements for specific O&M plan that meets city waters requirements	requirements.		
12.2								
	There is very limited detail on how geotechnical & hydrogeological potential issues have been identified	HCC to identify whether sufficient detail has been	HCC to assess.	HCC to confirm.	S&L to provide supporting geotechnical information for Stage 24. Of particular interest is 1.	All fill has bee certified by Core50	Not accepted. Please provide	A detailed response, includir
	incorporated into the design (if necessary). Generally would expect groundwater levels, slope stability, peat management etc to be addressed.	provided under other applications otherwise supporting geotechnical/hydrogeological design information to be			Groundwater levels relative to design levels. 2. Nature of Engineered fill and effect on the on-lot retention measures. 3. Assessment of the slope stability of the various batters around the perimeter		additional response specific to HCC query.	imminent.
11.3		supplied.			of Stage 24.			
Table 2 Stame 24 Area LUK								
Stormwater Calculations								
Check	There doesn't appear to a catchment plan corresponding to the supplied calculation sheet.	SW catchment plan to be included to support	A catchment drawing will be created.	Complete. Refer drawing SWC1 & SWC2.	Closed			
T2.1		calculations.						
	It is not clear what climate scenario is being used for design rainfall inputs. It is noted that the WQ calculation sheet indicates BCP6.0 intensities for the 2031-51 planning horizon have been adopted	All stormwater calculations should be updated to be based an BCPB 5 climate change scenario (2100 – 2120	Design completed mid 2024, reference to NIWA. RCP6.0 used. It is noted that the in-denth SW modelling that has been undertaken could be	Complete. Updated to RCP 6.0 2100	Closed			
T2.2		planning horizon).	assessed as a sensitivity analysis.					
	Calculations should according to a second state of the design of the state.		Defect 77.40. Derive deservite erfekte effekte betreen ersteldet erst	Consists the dated as a first second date			-	
T2.3	Calculations should cover all conveyance elements of the design – pipes, swales, olfps etc.	Update calculations to include all conveyance elements of the design.	Refer 15.18 - Review done with majority of calculations provided, any further updates required will be provided.	Complete. Updated calcualtion provided.				
	Calculations don't include hydraulic grade line information.	Update SW calculations to include hydraulic grad line	In progress, will be added to drawings.	Complete. HGL information provided on drawings.	The new western SW line appears to be significantly undersized (i.e. Q =288% of Qcap). We	SW design updated to 300mm dia with 10 year capacity	Refer email Item 5. Detailed	Further calculations issued t
		calculations & associated plots for each pipeline.			acknowledge that the higher level 300mm line provides overflow to the north however the combined system should still be designed to convey the 10vr event (without significant surcharge).		calculations are required.	
T2.4					Please review pipe calculation and update long sections with HGL information.			
	There doesn't appear to be consideration of potential impacts of tailwater in the stormwater calculations – all pipe runs in Stage 24 discharge to Swale 6 which has a neak level of 37.84 mpl in the	Update calculations to include consideration of correct tailwater levels.	Flood modelling has considered the worst case scenarios for flooding within the development. Worst case, no surcharge will impact any log	Tailwater depths have been included in design.				
T2.5	10y ARI event.		in an end of the second s					
	No evidence of assessment of flow/ponding depths in roading corridors to demonstrate compliance with	Update SW calculations to demonstrate consistency with	Drawing was created and provided to HCC separately, will be included in	Complete. Refer drawing SW8 & SW8A.	SWB and SWBA show flooding extents in the culvert block event with flood levels at RL39.0m. This	Drawing SW8 has been updated to show flood depths in the road	Refer email Item 7. Additional	Further detailing of flood de
	RITS.	RITS requirements for secondary flow depths in roadways.	EPA submission.		information is useful however does not demonstrate consistency with RITS requirements for secondary flow depths in roadways as per original RFI item. Secondary flow on roads shall he In	between 0.15 and 0.2m based on a flood level of RL39.0m. We request a relaxation as 0.2m flood depths should not restrict vehicular movement	assessment required	provided, we are asking for a no car parking in this vicinit
					accordance with Section 3 : Transportation (Clause 3.3.14.10). The design must not result in ponding			only occurs during a 100 yea
T2.6					demonstrate the ponding and flow depths within the road corridors for a local 50 or 100 year storm			depths.
					event. Plans are required to show areas in the road where flood depths exceed the 150mm limit. Of particular interest is the sag point in Road 3 fronting Lots 802-807.			
					To help with steep batter grades, is there opportunity to lift the Lot 900 OLFP to tie in with the	Swale has been lifted 400mm to RL 38.70 and slope batters maximum of	Accepted	
12.7					Radiata Street cui-de-sac levels. The roading plans also show a tootpath link to the Webb Drive Footpath - how is this to be formed through the OLFP channel?	15.		
Table 3 – Stage 24 - Stormwater Treatment								
Design(1.0)-1.0-SW treatm	The supplied calculations only appear to cover a small section of the Stage 24 area – Lots 799 to 805. No	Update WQ calculations to include calculations for	Review completed, assessment done previously. Will provide calculations.	Calcualtions revised.				
T3.1	calculations are supplied for areas draining to Swale 6C.	Swale 6C.	These have been based on average retention times.					
	Supplied WQ calculations don't take into account flow contributions from the western swale - this will	Update WQ calculations for the shallow swale to	Flow from the western swale is only to allow for previous, existing	Complete.	RFI item no longer relevant. Southern reserve 'swale' is to be removed and replaced with a grassed		-	
T3 2	influence flow depths for WQ storm calculations.	account for flows from western swale. Note: Current	developments that were permitted to discharge overland flow onto CPL		overland flow path.			
		supported.	areas only.					
	Design rainfalls quoted in calculations sheets indicate RCP6.0 intensities for the 2031-51 planning	All stormwater calculations should be updated to be	S24 design started early 2024, submitted August 2024, RITS 2B not yet	Complete. Updated to RCP 6.0 2100	Noted.			
	horizon have been adopted.	based on the RCP8.5 climate change scenario (2100 – 2120 planning horizon).Refer to RITS version 2b	adopted. Suggest utilising the RCP6.0 criteria and use the flood modelling as a sensitivity analysis.					
T3.3		available through the CoLab website for additional guidance						
		u						
	Maximum batter slopes indicated on conceptual cross section in WQ calculation sheets are 1:2. This exceeds RITS specifications.	Update swale design of shallow swale to be consistent with RITS requirements for side slopes – i.e. 1:3 for	Drawing to be updated. Side slopes extended to 1:3.5 - this is the best option considering the adjacent pipelines and flow requirements.	Complete. Batters remain at 1:3 with planting.	Further design required to confirm treatment of batter slopes. Preference is for OLFP's to be grassed with maximum batter slopes of 1V:5H. The design of Swales also needs to ensure access is provided	Grassed swales will have maximum batter slopes of 1V:5H.	Accepted	
T3.4		planted and 1:5 for mowed batters.			for safe and practical maintenance - this is particularly relevant for the Lot 322 swale. Refer further			
					LANDSCAPING - Updated planting plans are required based on the revised design	Landscaping plans immanent.	Noted. Landscaping plans to be	Landscaping design will follo
							provided	the previous Green Hill Park
	Longitudinal slope is given as 0.25% - which would require suitable underdrainage as per RITS.	Update design to include suitably designed under-	Drawing to be updated.	HCC would like to see this swale planted with a pipeline along the	Underdrainage has been proposed for the southern OLFP, and the northern OLFP above the 825mm	Swale has been constructed as agreed on site with S&L engineers and	Further discussion required. It is	Batter slopes have been revi
		to how flat grades are being appropriately managed.	·	and discharge at the western end of the swale.	pipe. Area L south swale needs underdrainage to ensure this area does not become a bog in the winter.	n.c.	able to be maintained/mown	5
				New Action: Swale to be planted with an additional SW line to intercept property connections.	2		throughout the winter.	
T3.5				Complete. Flow now to Road 3 reticulation.				
Table 4 – OLFP Report once flood model response has								
been provided it will be								
expected that the report will need updating to reflect								
	The report title refer to overland flow management, but actually covers multiple aspects of stormwater	It is recommended that this report is expanded to cover	Refer T4.1 - Design work to the WIA provided by Beca. This document	Complete. Existing WIA is suitable.	To be address as part of furtue reporting. HCC to confirm requirements	Noted.		
T4.1	management.	all reporting requirements of a WIA.	should be read in conjunction.					
	The "Stormwater Overland Elow Management Reports" and de a constant of the sector of the	Indate the merall docine to be construct with	The "Stormuster Overland Flow Monandana"	Complete Please see EDA menet routine E 7	Undated designs include datals of the OLEN's assessmended in the CR1	Pennts to be provided chatty after EDE cuber	Noted	
	convey flood flows in the event the Webb Drive culvert became blocked, or capacity exceeded. The	measures outlined in the OLFP report.	response to the flooding requirements, this can be read in conjunction -	As previously discussed, levels of the northern reserve can be managed to	opuosed designs include details or the ULHP's recommended in the S&L report. Recommend S&L report is updated to reflect amended EPA design. Further comment on the detailed design of the	neports to be provided shifty after EPA submission.	NUCED	
	recommendations of the report have not however been incorporated into the engineering plans. Missing details include:	3	drawings will be updated. - Drawing will be provided.	suit as per HCC's desired outcome for the land use.	OLFP and conveyance channels is provided below.			
T4.2	Point 2 – details of the OLFP running from the north end of the development to the eastern end of     Swale 2		Drawing will be provided.     As previously discussed levels of the northern receive can be received.					
	- Point 4 – details of the OLFP extending across Lot 900		to suit as per HCC's desired outcome for the land use.					
	source of the number in reserve area to facilitate recommended attenuation.							
	The OLFP report identifies multiple on-lot measures that could be applied – it would be expected that	Update reporting to identify preferred on-lot measures		Complete. Please refer to Appendix D of the WIA.				
		& identify what post-construction testing will be undertaken to confirm suitability.						
T4 3								
14.3								
	In general symbolicity labelling etc should be improved							
Table 5 - 24-30410-24 - EPA - GHP Stage 24 - Stormwater	an generey symoonyy, nacimiy cic andulu de improved.							
Design - 18.02.202								
	Design contour plan should be included – currently very difficult to understand if the SW network is designed correctly – for example, the stormwater line K. It is difficult to detarmine whather effects this	Provide a design contour plan in the drawing set which can clearly be interpreted	All catchpits correctly positioned. Drawing to be provided.	Complete. Refer drawing SW1.	There appear to be a number of errors in the design contours (i.e. stockpile across Lots 781-784? Irregular contours across Lots 806-815 and 777-779). Can key reaction lines also be added to the CML	Key roading lines added including re-aligned pedestrian crossing in OLFP in lot 900. We have created dwo S2 with includer 3 waters and coording	Noted. Additional comments in email.	This footpath has been mor
	line are located in a sag or not.				plans (kerb and channel lines, footpaths etc) to provide context. As per RITS, confirm manholes are builtering in a set form the ker	and a second second second to be a second to be a second to be a second se		
					typically located 2m out form the k&C			
T5.1								
	Lot numbers and road names differ between the roading and SW plan sets.	Update plans to be consistent.	Drawings to be updated.	Complete.	Awaiting updated roading plans?	Roading Plans included in latest submission	HCC review still pending	
T5.2								
1							]	

comments	HCC Comments 05/05/2025	HCC Comments 07/05/20252	S&L comments 08/05/2025
			Closed
			Closed for EPA We await the requirements
			during construction that meet city waters requirements.
uding detail from Core 50 is	Noted		Closed for EPA. A detailed response, including
			detail from Core 50 will be provided during construction.
			Closed by HCC on 11/04/2025
			Closed by HCC on 11/04/2025
			Closed, covered by actions
ed to HCC	Accepted		below. Closed by HCC on 05/05/2025
			Closed, covered by actions below.
depths and calculations	Refer email for additional queries. HCC are not		
or a relaxation. There will us inity, this ponding situation wear event and with all catch	convinced the design has been optimised to keep use ponding depth to a minimum.		Closed. Latest design submitted has
e drawing SW 3A for ponding			been agreed. Deepest flooding is 0.2m at the kerb, for a
			greatest width of 0.9m. This has been accepted during a
			discussion with HCC. Closed by HCC on 24/04/2025
			Closed, covered by actions
			below.
			Closed by HCC on 11/04/2025
			Closed by muc on anyon,
			Closed by HCC on 24/04/2025
ollow, and will be in keeping of ark designs.	Noted.		Closed for EPA. Landscaping that will we
			ensure no clashes with civil works.
revised and access is available.	Recommend this swale/OLFP is provided with underdrainage.		Closed for EPA, providing the
			following comment is adhered too.
			The southern shallow sware and radiata as agreed and indirated on the drawings with
			include underdrainage, also the western swale will also have
			underdrainage Instance.
			Closed for EPA. To be address as part of furtue reporting. HCC to confirm
			requirements. Closed for EPA.
			Reports were provided or. 29/04/2025
			Closed for EPA. We will comply with Appendix
			D of the WIA. Consent notice 54 from 200111-2019-7140.016 will be
			registered at the time of 223/224 against all relevant
			lots. This consent notice confirms that any site shall include stormwater
			management measures indetified in the WIA report.
- and and is accessibility	Accented. Post construction review will be undertaken		Closed for EPA.
moved and is occase.	to confirm footpath link provides a sensible connection to Webb Drive. May require a second more		Post construction review will be undertaken to confirm
	direct link with stairs.		footpath link provides a sensible connection to Webb Drive. To be constructed to
			accessbility standards, a second more direct link with stairs may
			be required.
			Closed for EPA No further comments from HCC offer their comments on
			24/04/2025.

1	Queral lowest plan should show all SW/designed works being proposed . surrouth doos not anothing to	Lindate lawout plan to show all works heins proposed or	Drowies to be vedated	Complete Refer drawiner CIM1 & CIM1 &	Accepted refer further BC holes on Padiata Ctreat designed		1	
T5.3	the north of Radiata St extension.	provide multiple layout plans.	brawing to be updated.	complete. Relet of awings swit a swite.	nicepteu - reier furtiler ner below oli naulata screet urainage.			
	The SW layout plan (SW24-SW1) indicates a significant number of kerb connections. Private connections	The overall design of the SW reticulation network needs	Substantial discussions have been held with HCC already since August last	HCC would now like to reduce the number of kerb connections to a	Kerb Connections removed which is supported. We understrad further design work is underway by	Please see the updated drainage layout on drawing 30410-01-S24-SW1	Refer email for additional comments	Email comments responded to, i
	to sware of that full across the roading control are also a concern.	detailed workshop with HCC would be useful to clarify	we believe is covered by other requests from this RFI and previous RFIs.	this HCC is happy to accept pipe gradients at less than RITS, 0.25% was directed. This acception can be applied to a pipe length to action by	are to optimise the dramage layouts.		un reviseu uranage layout.	requirements.
		expectations.		reduction in kerb connections.				
T5.4				new action. Design to incorporate gradients less than hirs requirement.				
				discharges, with proposed discharge at SWOUTIG.				
				New Action: New SW line to intercept property connections				
				Complete: Refer drawings SW1 & SW1A.				
	There are multiple outlets to each treatment swale, including at locations very close to swale outlets. Locations should be rationalised & located such that maximum retention times are achieved		Refer T3.1 - The site is very flat so SW is limited option regarding outlet location. While others may be available we believe the provided design is	Complete. Refer drawings SW1 & SW1A.	Latest design represents an improved layout. Line J8-J6 is however grading up.	Line J8-J6 has been corrected	Accepted	
T5.5			applicable.					
T5.6	It is not clear whether catch-pit spacing adheres to RITS requirements. – distance between catch-pits on Jacques Tce appears high. 100 m ish vs 90m rits max		Refer T5.1 - Catchpit locations are suitable.	Complete. Refer drawings SW1 & SW1A.	Accepted			
TE 7	OLFP arrows indicate a road sag near the boundary of Lots 787 & 788, there are no catchpits in this		Arrow slightly incorrect. Drawing will be corrected.	Complete. Refer drawings SW1 & SW1A.	Accepted		-	
13.7	location. Not clear why there is a different approach to SW network layout for the two ROWs – one has a central		Design is to manage SW capacity constraints.	Complete. Refer drawings SW1 & SW1A.	Accepted		4	
T5.8	SW line with lateral connections, the other doesn't							
T5 9	The SW layout plan indicates that western part of Raleigh Ave is not captured by the reticulation network & discharged to Swale 6C – i.e. is not treated.		Correct. Due to the need to increase the elevation of the lot levels, the road needed to increase in grade. This means a small area of Raleigh	Complete.	Refer further comments below			
			Street (extension) will fail to the west.					Noted, and responded to below:
	In some locations there are catch-pits connected to catch-pits, rather than laterally connected to a manhole. Location of Jacquis southern catchpits in vehicle crossing.		Correct. This has been previously discussed and agreed with HCC in the previous RFI processes. SW design is constrained by the achievable fall.	Complete. Refer drawings SW1 & SW1A.	Accepted			
15.10								
T5 11	KnC connections can only service a single lot for clearing purposes, inconsistency of lots being KnC vs mains ie lot 783 vs 782		All lots have been connected to a reticulation system where possible. All kerb connections will be made single connections.	Complete. Refer drawings SW1 & SW1A. Kerb connections removed due to lesser gradient.	Accepted			
	Depart's apparents to be any continent through Swale SC approached, would aware both turinal datail	Add colourant datails to desuring cat	- Desuing will be activided	Complete Bofer desuring SW1 8, SW14	The WD calculations chose a base width of 2 tax, because cores section BB choses a sectional width.	Drawing SMRR has been amonded	-	
T5.12	sections and detailed sections.	Add relevant details to drawing set.	Drawing will be provided.	complete, relef drawings swirk swirk.	Calculations need to be updated to reflect the actual base width.	Drawing swab has been amended.	Accepted.	
	The drawings shows a swale (v-drain) running along the western boundary of the development (swale - west).	The western swale needs to be redesigned to be consistent with RITS requirements.	The purpose of the western swale is solely to capture stormwater runoff from adjacent land that discharges onto CPL property. The swale has no	HCC would like more of an 'urban design' review for this section. As part of #6 above, design a SW line along the western boundary flowing to the	Please provide a long section of the updated western swale, and also cross sections at regular intervals. A long section of the 375mm pipe along the western boundary is also required.	Long Section and sections provided.	Refer email for additional comments on revised drainage layout.	Long section, cross sections and provided. The swale is within the
	<ul> <li>Supplied sections indicate up to 1:1 batter slopes which are up to 2m high.</li> <li>Longitudinal slope of the drain is 0.6% &amp; 0.3%.</li> </ul>		other catchment and is therefore a very low flow swale. This is the same scenario utilised (and accepted) on the southern boundary of Stage 17,	south with scruffy dome inlets along its length. Review if the swale needs to be planted and possibly have a 'sawtooth' flow. Assess the flood depth	11/04/2025 Following meeting today, it is expected thre will be some amendments to the pipe and h drain design. The hydraulic capacity iof the western OLFP needs to be checked based on the			constraints we are proposing, as no vegetation to maintain. Our n
	<ul> <li>No easement is indicated</li> <li>The long-section shows the southern end of the swale has an invert at RL37.16m. This appears to be</li> </ul>		which has been successful.	from swale 6C and ensure it cannot flow back up into the existing properties.	contributing catchments, and conveyance of the 100 year ARI flows. Also, HCC would prefer a concrete base, say 1m wide, to the western OLFP within private lots to ensure weeds and long grass			this is to shape the swale, cover a matting, please see the drawings
T5.13	approx. 800mm lower than the 'shallow swale' extending along the southern boundary.		Easements provided - please refer to 23-30410-524-C1.	New Action: New western SW line. Complete. Refer drawings SW1 & SW1A.	dont restrict capacity. This will also help to delineate the flow path and enable landowners to maintain the channel easily.			
			Long section will be adjusted to indicate tie in to swale.					
			To achieve the drainage of the adjacent properties and the flood levels fo Stage 24, the swale will need a minor deviation from RITS.	r -				
	No details are provided of erosion protection at pipe outlets. Where erosion protection is shown on plans it is shown with straight edges or angled inwards – rather than flared outwards as appropriate.	Include erosion protection details and supporting calculations.	Pipe outlets are small and rip rap scour protection will be added to notes and can be discussed on site.	Complete. Drawings update to show scour protection.	Dimensions of rip rap pads should be added to the plans and reflect the calculations provided. There also appears to be errors in the calculations provided - length of the riprap pads are not correct with	Calculations have been corrected and dimensions and extent of riprap added to plans.	Noted. Review of riprap calculations pending	
T5.14					most pads needing to be longer. The riprap pad at SWOUT K2OF has not been designed or shown on the drawings.			
	No outlet is shown at SWOUT K2 – based on the depth indicated on plan S24-SW3 it looks like this incluing could be below ground level	Design of outlet to be updated to interface at ground	SWOUT K2 is designed as a bubble up system. This emulates the existing condition providing better management	HCC would like to review if this outlet can be redirected via reticulation to the south and connect into the 1050 line to the south as a mimary flow.	<ul> <li>Refer queries above regarding capacity of the 300mm line and requirement for rip rap pad details.</li> </ul>	This outlet has now been redirected via reticulation to the south. Riprap	Refer email for additional comments	Email comments responded to.
	informe could be below ground rever.	icici mul dealgh ad loce.	condition, providing occcer management.	This discharge was previously undesired. It was thought useful that the hubble un connection remain as a secondary flow.			on revised and tage to your.	
T5.15				New Action: New western SW line.				
				now be a headwall outlet with a subsoil drain fall back to a MH.				
	Drawing \$24.5005 shows a hund on Lot 767 (chainang a020) _ no too width or side clones indicated. It is	Indate design of this drain/swale sertion to not require	There is no hund this is a herm into the swale	Complete	Noted Inveser susle close of 1-2 are not anoroviate	See sertion DuD on drawing SWBR	Arrented	
T5.16	unclear to what extent this would affect this lot.	bunding.	There is no build, unis is a derin into the swate.	Complete	noted, nowever swate slopes of 1.2 are not appropriate.	see section pro on drawing swop.	Accepted	
	OLFP above 825mm pipe shown on drawing \$24-5W6 shows batter slopes of 1:3, which is steeper than	Update swale design to be consistent with RITS.	To accommodate the OLFP we have kept the invert of the swale as wide	Complete. Leave at 3:1 due to shallow swale.	Recommend post construction inspection required to confirm ability to maintain the swale with ride-	Noted		
	RITS allows for grassed swales.		as possible. The swale is shallow, so 1:3 should be maintainable.		on mower. Remedials may be required.			
T5.17								
	The overall philosophy of the 825mm pipe is not clear – i.e. what is the rationale of this being piped versus just open channel? If the 825mm is designed as a 100 yr culvert? should the flow from radiata be	Update design reporting to better outline and justify overall design philosophy.	The depth of the pipeline meant a channel was prohibitive and designed to be capable of coping with future flood volumes. The first section is an	Complete. The 825 line is design to convey high flows from the Stage 24 area through to Swale 4.	Further justification required to resolve RFI item. What catchment and flows has the 825mm line been designed for? Provide hydrology and hydraulic calculations.	825 pipeline to extend to lot 322 and end with a bubble adjacent to lot 322.	Further information required to resolve RFI item. Please provide	Calculations and drawings SW5 a
	completely piped without the section of open channel. Why is the 825mm sized thus, could a smaller lin be put in for the section connected to Radiata, does 322 need to be a "swale"?	e	open swale to reduce flooding risk to adjacent properties and allow clearence of high voltage cables. Calculations will be provided, although				hydrology and hydraulic calculations for the 825mm pipe including	
T5.18			the pipeline has been accepted and constructed.				catchment plan.	
	Streemuster Sunda Green Sections - DMC 20410-01-524 SMRB - Rev 0					Faction & A undeted to domenstrate curels is below boundary level and	Accepted	
77.40	2004LIMAGEL 2MAIE CLO22 26CUOU2 - DM/2 30410-01-254-2M98 - K6A 0	Cross Section A-A - There appears to be a bund that would block overland flows being conveyed from the				section A-A updated to demonstrate swale is below boundary level and no interupting of overland flow path.	Accepted	
15.19		south. Also, please confirm the invert of the OLFP is below the level of the southern residential properties						
		Cross Section C-C - What is driving the invert level of the	8			Drawing SW8B has been amended.	Accepted	
T5.20		OLFP? - can this be lifted to flatten the batter down from Webb Drive to <1:5 so it can be grassed.						
						Drawing SW8B has been amended. The minimum depth of the swale	Noted. It is however important that	Calculations provided, and detail
		Cross Section D-D - The cross section shows the right				u.z.zun.	avoid flows spilling to the adjacent	
T5.21		maximum slope for a planted batter of 1:3. Also, what is the minimum death of the surely speed on the same	s				provide calculations to confirm 100	-
		the design flows?	·				within the OLFP.	
		Conce Sociale E. E. Mater show cuple classes up to 1/2 2				Provine CMRP undeted to chose environmentariate of 1.5	-	
T5.22		Can these batters be flattened to max 1:5 so they can be grassed if not prenare a plan showing areas that are	e			and denote to show maximum Biggigut of 1:2		
		steeper than 1:5.				Cross sections of West swale are on drawings R19 & R20. Long sector is	There are a number of cross sertions	The swale is within the lots and
						on R18 and can also be viewed on SW11.	that show steep side slopes to the channel. Particularly at the southern	we are proposing, a stepper grad vegetation to maintain. Our met
		Cross Section F-F - Require a long section of Swale West					end CH0-90. This profile will be challenging to maintain and requires	this is to shape the swale, cover matting, please see the drawing
T5.23		and cross sections at regular intervals to understand how this swale works and interfaces with the lots and					further consideration. Can the channel be widened to enable side slopes to h	I SW8B.
		negroouring residential land					flattened to 1:3 max? Cross section F-F does not represent the actual design	F
							profile.	
	WQ Treatment for Western ends of Radiata and Raleigh Street Extensions	The revised design with the 375mm western pipeline				Lots are now treated through swale 6C via SW line H.	Refer email for additional comments on revised drainage layout.	Email comments responded to. C and detail shown on drawing SW
T5.24		not receiving any treatment or peak flow attenuation. This includes Lots 767 768 777 and 776						-
		11/04/2025 - Eurther to discussion of the				Stormwater line H discharges in to swale 6c. 300mm western line	Refer email for additional comments	Email comments responded to.
		morning, consideration needs to be given to conveyance of primary flows into Swale CC. This will accurate				throttled to encourage flows into H line.	on revised drainage layout.	and detail shown on drawing SW
T5.25		Stormwater design is impletemented and flows are not directed porth to the GHR could porter. This offer						
		reconfiguration of the drainage system. This will require system on the Ratiata Street extension.						
		If the primary drinage discharge is removed from Lot				825 pipeline to extend to lot 322 and end with a bubble adjacent to lot	Refer email for additional comments	Email comments responded to.
		322, this channel can be lifted and formed as a graseed OLFP. We would also recommned that the 825mm nine				322.	on revised drainage layout.	shown on drawings SW5 and SW
15.20		is extended to the south and the drain filled through the reserve area. Overland flows could then enter the	e					
		825mm pipe via a scruffy dome inlet.					1	

		Closed by HCC on 11/04/2025.
ded to, in accordance with HCC	Noted. Refer additional comments in email	Closed for EPA. Radita footpath shown on roading set and will be constructed. Post construction review will be undertaken to confirm footpath link provides a sensible constructed to accessibility standsrds, a second more direct link with stairs may be required.
		Closed by HCC on 24/04/2025.
		Closed by HCC on 11/04/2025.
		Closed by HCC on 11/04/2025. Closed by HCC on 11/04/2025.
		Closed for EPA. Covered by actions below.
o below:		Closed by HCC on 11/04/2025.
		Closed by HCC on 11/04/2025.
		Closed by HCC on 24/04/2025.
ons and calculations have been rithin the lots, and due to site sing, a stepper gradient with in. Our methodology to a chive c, over with PPC then Coconut drawings R18, R19, R20 SW88.	Requires further design and assessment. Refer comments in email.	Closed by HCC on 05/05/2025. Also see row T5.27 responses to HCC comments 05/05/2025.
		Closed by HCC. Review completed by HCC and informed it has been accepted.
ded to.	Noted	Closed by HCC on 05/05/2025.
		Closed by HCC on 24/04/2025.
		Closed for EPA. We will undertake a post construction inspection required to confirm ability to maintain the swale with ride- on mower. Remedials may be required.
g SWS and SWb revised.	information provided doe, not appear to have the design existuations the B23mm (label extended 450mm) lines. Please provide specific calculations	Losed for EPA. Calculation issued on 08/05/2025 prove the design is suitable for 1.8% gradient, we will construct the 450mm dila pipe to 1.8% and locally concrete cap and then apply a 200mm deep top soil. Whilst still maintaing the design ground reduced levels.
		Closed by HCC on 24/04/2025.
		Closed by HCC on 24/04/2025.
nd detail on drawing SW8B.	Please confirm where calculations can be found?	Closed for EPA Calculation issued on 08/05/2025, this swale shows a depth of 210mm, this is acheiveble on site and complying with our current submission.
		Closed by HCC on 24/04/2025.
ots, and due to site constraints oper gradient with no Our methodology to achieve e, cover with PVC then Coconut drawings R18, R19, R20 and	Refer additional comments in email	Closed for EPA. PVC capping and cocunut matting was rejected by HCC, however a 12 grassed slopes with a flat base was accpected via email on 6th May 2025.
ded to. Calculations provided wing SW2.	Refer additional comments in email	Closed for EPA. Email on 6th May accepting compliance.
ded to. Calculations provided wring SW1 and SW2.	Refer additional comments in email	Closed for EPA. Email on 6th May accepting compliance.
ded to. Detail provided and and SW6.	Refer additional comments in email	Closed for EPA. With submission of the required update on 7th May, and also refer to comments under 75.29.

-				
T5.27				
T5.28				
T5.29				

 Western Boundary Swale
 Closed for EPA.

 Drawing R1B shows the swale west long section. The walk has been designed longituativally flat from CH3-D. base for chanages 10 to 90 of CH30. This channel needs to have positive fail to avoid 0.3% falling southwards.

 significant sufface ponding. We acknowledge that was not picked up previously.
 Southwards.

 of the lawed of the up and the the fall length of the useder swale to also
 Of the useder swale to also up and of the useder swale to also

We also recommend that the full length of the western swale is constructed with underdrainage (required under RITS Table 4-28).

Closed for EPA. Radita footpath shown on roading set and will be constructed-Posit constructed to constructed Posit constructed to confirm footpath link provides a sensible connection to Webb Drive. To be constructed to accessibility standards, a secon more direct link with stairs ma be required.

Footpath linking Radiata to Webb has been removed from Drawing SW1?

255mm SW line Constraints of the SMM Use Constraints of the Const